

1 SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP
2 Jason J. Kim (SBN 190246)
3 Jason Yoon (SBN 306137)
4 Kevin Hong (SBN 299040)
5 101 S. Western Ave., Second Floor
6 Los Angeles, CA 90004
7 Telephone: (213) 205-6560
8 cm@SoCalEAG.com

9
10 Attorneys for Plaintiff
11 MELANIE DELAPAZ

12
13
14 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
15 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

16 MELANIE DELAPAZ,

17 Plaintiff,

18 vs.

19 SAKURA RENT A CAR, INC.; and
20 DOES 1 to 10,

21 Defendants.

22
23 **Case No.:**

24 COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE
25 RELIEF AND DAMAGES FOR DENIAL
26 OF CIVIL RIGHTS OF A DISABLED
27 PERSON IN VIOLATIONS OF

- 28
29 1. AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES
30 ACT, 42 U.S.C. §12131 et seq.;
31 2. CALIFORNIA'S UNRUH CIVIL
32 RIGHTS ACT;
33 3. CALIFORNIA'S DISABLED
34 PERSONS ACT;
35 4. CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY
36 CODE;
37 5. NEGLIGENCE

38
39 Plaintiff MELANIE DELAPAZ ("Plaintiff") complains of Defendants SAKURA
40 RENT A CAR, INC.; and DOES 1 to 10 ("Defendants") and alleges as follows:
41

42 //

43 //

44 //

45 //

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff is a California resident with a physical disability. Plaintiff suffers from hemiplegia and is substantially limited in her ability to walk. Plaintiff requires the use of a wheelchair at all times when traveling in public.

2. Defendants are, or were at the time of the incident, the real property owners, business operators, lessors and/or lessees of the real property for a car rental agency (“Business”) located at or about 16207 S. Western Ave., Gardena, California.

3. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise of Defendant DOES 1 through 10, and each of them, are unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will ask leave of Court to amend this Complaint when the true names and capacities have been ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and, based thereon, alleges that each such fictitiously named Defendants are responsible in some manner, and therefore, liable to Plaintiff for the acts herein alleged.

4. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that, at all relevant times, each of the Defendants was the agent, employee, or alter-ego of each of the other Defendants, and/or was acting in concert with each of the other Defendants, and in doing the things alleged herein was acting with the knowledge and consent of the other Defendants and within the course and scope of such agency or employment relationship.

5. Whenever and wherever reference is made in this Complaint to any act or failure to act by a defendant or Defendants, such allegations and references shall also be deemed to mean the acts and failures to act of each Defendant acting individually, jointly and severally.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. The Court has jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 USC §§ 1331 and 1333 for violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, (42 USC §12101, *et seq.*).

1 7. Pursuant to pendant jurisdiction, attendant and related causes of action,
2 arising from the same nucleus of operating facts, are also brought under California law,
3 including, but not limited to, violations of California Civil Code §§51, 51.5, 52(a), 52.1,
4 54, 54., 54.3 and 55.

5 || 8. Plaintiff's claims are authorized by 28 USC §§ 2201 and 2202.

6 9. Venue is proper in this court pursuant to 28 USC §1331(b). The real
7 property which is the subject of this action is located in this district, Los Angeles County,
8 California, and that all actions complained of herein take place in this district.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

10. In or about October of 2024, Plaintiff went to the Business.

11 11. The Business is a car rental agency business establishment, open to the
12 public, and is a place of public accommodation that affects commerce through its
13 operation.

14 12. While attempting to enter the Business during each visit, Plaintiff personally
15 encountered a number of barriers that interfered with her ability to use and enjoy the
16 goods, services, privileges, and accommodations offered at the Business.

17 13. To the extent of Plaintiff's personal knowledge, the barriers at the Business
18 included, but were not limited to, the following:

19 a. Defendants failed to maintain accessibility for persons with
20 disabilities to comply with the federal and state standards. Defendants
21 failed to provide at least one accessible entrance as required as the
22 entrance had a step instead of a proper ramp.

23 14. These barriers and conditions denied Plaintiff the full and equal access to the
24 Business and caused Plaintiff difficulty and frustration. Plaintiff wishes to return and
25 patronize the Business; however, Plaintiff is deterred from visiting the Business because
26 her knowledge of these violations prevents her from returning until the barriers are
27 removed.

1 15. Based on the violations, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that
2 there are additional barriers to accessibility at the Business after further site inspection.
3 Plaintiff seeks to have all barriers related to her disability remedied. *See Doran v. 7-
4 Eleven, Inc.* 524 F.3d 1034 (9th Cir. 2008).

5 16. In addition, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that Defendants
6 knew that particular barriers render the Business inaccessible, violate state and federal
7 law, and interfere with access for the physically disabled.

8 17. At all relevant times, Defendants had and still have control and dominion
9 over the conditions at this location and had and still have the financial resources to
10 remove these barriers without much difficulty or expenses to make the Business
11 accessible to the physically disabled in compliance with ADDAG and Title 24
12 regulations. Defendants have not removed such barriers and have not modified the
13 Business to conform to accessibility regulations.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990

16 18. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
17 paragraphs in this complaint.

18 19. Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (“ADA”), no individual
19 shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of
20 the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of
21 public accommodation by any person who owns, leases, or leases to, or operates a place
22 of public accommodation. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).

20. Discrimination, *inter alia*, includes:

- 24 a. A failure to make reasonable modification in policies, practices, or
25 procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford such
26 goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations
27 to individuals with disabilities, unless the entity can demonstrate that
28 making such modifications would fundamentally alter the nature of

such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(ii).

b. A failure to take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that no individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services, unless the entity can demonstrate that taking such steps would fundamentally alter the nature of the good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or accommodation being offered or would result in an undue burden. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iii).

c. A failure to remove architectural barriers, and communication barriers that are structural in nature, in existing facilities, and transportation barriers in existing vehicles and rail passenger cars used by an establishment for transporting individuals (not including barriers that can only be removed through the retrofitting of vehicles or rail passenger cars by the installation of a hydraulic or other lift), where such removal is readily achievable. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv).

d. A failure to make alterations in such a manner that, to the maximum extent feasible, the altered portions of the facility are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, including individuals who use wheelchairs or to ensure that, to the maximum extent feasible, the path of travel to the altered area and the bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered area, are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities where such alterations to the path or travel or the bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered area are not disproportionate to the overall alterations in terms of cost and scope. 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(2).

1 21. At least one accessible route shall connect accessible building, facilities,
2 elements, and spaces that are on the same site. 1991 ADA Standards § 4.3.2. A public
3 accommodation shall maintain in operable working condition those features of facilities
4 and equipment that are required to be readily accessible to and usable by persons with
5 disabilities by the Act or this part. 28 C.F.R. 35.211(a).

6 22. Here, Defendants failed to provide at least one accessible entrance to the
7 Business as the entrance had a step instead of a proper ramp.

8 23. A public accommodation shall maintain in operable working condition those
9 features of facilities and equipment that are required to be readily accessible to and usable
10 by persons with disabilities by the Act or this part. 28 C.F.R. 35.211(a).

11 24. By failing to maintain the facility to be readily accessible and usable by
12 Plaintiff, Defendants are in violation of Plaintiff's rights under the ADA and its related
13 regulations.

14 25. The Business has denied and continues to deny full and equal access to
15 Plaintiff and to other people with disabilities. Plaintiff has been and will continue to be
16 discriminated against due to the lack of accessible facilities, and therefore, seeks
17 injunctive relief to alter facilities to make such facilities readily accessible to and usable
18 by individuals with disabilities.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE UNRUH CIVIL RIGHTS ACT

21 26. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
22 paragraphs in this complaint.

23 27. California Civil Code § 51 states, “All persons within the jurisdiction of this
24 state are free and equal, and no matter what their sex, race, color, religion, ancestry,
25 national origin, disability, medical condition, genetic information, marital status, sexual
26 orientation, citizenship, primary language, or immigration status are entitled to the full
27 and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services in all business
28 establishments of every kind whatsoever.”

28. California Civil Code § 52 states, “Whoever denies, aids or incites a denial, or make any discrimination or distinction contrary to Section 51, 515, or 51.6, is liable for each and every offense for the actual damages, and any amount that may be determined by a jury, or a court sitting without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the amount of actual damage but in no case less than four thousand dollars (\$4,000) and any attorney’s fees that may be determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any person denied the rights provided in Section 51, 51.5, or 51.6.

29. California Civil Code § 51(f) specifies, “a violation of the right of any individual under federal Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336) shall also constitute a violation of this section.”

30. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial of full and equal accommodation, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services by physically disabled persons within the meaning of California Civil Code §§ 51 and 52. Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code §§ 51 and 52.

31. The violations of the Unruh Civil Rights Act caused Plaintiff to experience difficulty, discomfort, or embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for statutory damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA DISABLED PERSONS ACT

32. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior paragraphs in this complaint.

33. California Civil Code § 54.1(a) states, “Individuals with disabilities shall be entitled to full and equal access, as other members of the general public, to accommodations, advantages, facilities, medical facilities, including hospitals, clinics, and physicians’ offices, and privileges of all common carriers, airplanes, motor vehicles, railroad trains, motorbuses, streetcars, boats, or any other public conveyances or modes of transportation (whether private, public, franchised, licensed, contracted, or otherwise

1 provided), telephone facilities, adoption agencies, private schools, hotels, loading places,
2 places of public accommodations, amusement, or resort, and other places in which the
3 general public is invited, subject only to the conditions and limitations established by
4 law, or state or federal regulation, and applicable alike to all persons.

5 34. California Civil Code § 54.3(a) states, “Any person or persons, firm or
6 corporation who denies or interferes with admittance to or enjoyment of public facilities
7 as specified in Sections 54 and 54.1 or otherwise interferes with the rights of an
8 individual with a disability under Sections 54, 54.1 and 54.2 is liable for each offense for
9 the actual damages, and any amount as may be determined by a jury, or a court sitting
10 without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the amount of actual damages but in no
11 case less than one thousand dollars (\$1,000) and any attorney’s fees that may be
12 determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any person denied the rights
13 provided in Section 54, 54.1, and 54.2.

14 35. California Civil Code § 54(d) specifies, “a violation of the right of an
15 individual under Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336) also
16 constitute a violation of this section, and nothing in this section shall be construed to limit
17 the access of any person in violation of that act.

18 36. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial
19 of full and equal accommodation, advantages, and facilities by physically disabled
20 persons within the meaning of California Civil Code § 54. Defendants have
21 discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code § 54.

22 37. The violations of the California Disabled Persons Act caused Plaintiff to
23 experience difficulty, discomfort, and embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for
24 statutory damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 19955, et seq.

27 38. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
28 paragraphs in this complaint.

1 39. Plaintiff and other similar physically disabled persons who require the use of
2 a wheelchair are unable to use public facilities on a “full and equal” basis unless each
3 such facility is in compliance with the provisions of California Health & Safety Code §
4 19955 et seq. Plaintiff is a member of the public whose rights are protected by the
5 provisions of California Health & Safety Code § 19955 et seq.

6 40. The purpose of California Health & Safety Code § 1995 et seq. is to ensure
7 that public accommodations or facilities constructed in this state with private funds
8 adhere to the provisions of Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 4450) of Division 5 of
9 Title 1 of the Government Code. The code relating to such public accommodations also
10 require that “when sanitary facilities are made available for the public, clients, or
11 employees in these stations, centers, or buildings, they shall be made available for
12 persons with disabilities.

13 41. Title II of the ADA holds as a “general rule” that no individual shall be
14 discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of goods
15 (or use), services, facilities, privileges, and accommodations offered by any person who
16 owns, operates, or leases a place of public accommodation. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).
17 Further, each and every violation of the ADA also constitutes a separate and distinct
18 violation of California Civil Code §§ 54(c) and 54.1(d), thus independently justifying an
19 award of damages and injunctive relief pursuant to California law, including but not
20 limited to Civil Code § 54.3 and Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

NEGLIGENCE

23 42. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
24 paragraphs in this complaint.

25 43. Defendants have a general duty and a duty under the ADA, Unruh Civil
26 Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act to provide safe and accessible facilities
27 to the Plaintiff.

1 44. Defendants breached their duty of care by violating the provisions of ADA,
2 Unruh Civil Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act.

3 45. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligent conduct, Plaintiff
4 has suffered damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

6 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays for relief and judgment against
7 Defendants as follows:

- 8 1. For preliminary and permanent injunction directing Defendants to comply
9 with the Americans with Disability Act and the Unruh Civil Rights Act;
 - 10 2. Award of all appropriate damages, including but not limited to statutory
11 damages, general damages and treble damages in amounts, according to proof;
 - 12 3. Award of all reasonable restitution for Defendants' unfair competition
13 practices;
 - 14 4. Reasonable attorney's fees, litigation expenses, and costs of suit in this
15 action;
 - 16 5. Prejudgment interest pursuant to California Civil Code § 3291; and
 - 17 6. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

22 | Dated: January 30, 2025

SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP

By: /s/ Jason J. Kim
Jason J. Kim, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff